

SWMS COMPLIANCE AUDIT REPORT

Interim Audit of Current Subcontractor SWMS — Existing Trades

CLASSIFICATION: FOR INTERNAL USE

Project	—
Principal Contractor	
Prepared by	Craig James — OHSE Consultant
Date	25 June 2026
Audit type	Interim audit of current subcontractor SWMS register (existing trades)

1. Executive Summary

This interim audit reviewed 22 Safe Work Method Statements (SWMS) submitted by subcontractors currently engaged, or proposed for engagement, on the project. Of the 22 documents reviewed, 18 were rejected and 4 were accepted — a rejection rate of 82 percent. A total of 82 findings were recorded across the rejected documents, an average of 4.6 per rejected SWMS.

A rejection rate of four in five across documents already in the project register is not a set of isolated clerical errors. **It indicates that the SWMS being supplied for high risk construction work, and the process by which they are accepted, are not yet reliably producing documents that identify the high risk work and control the hazard most likely to cause serious injury.**

The defects are concentrated and repeating. The most serious is the failure to identify, or to control, the high risk construction work the task actually involves — most commonly a risk of a person falling more than 2 metres, and in two cases work on or near energised electrical services. A second recurring pattern is the reuse of generic or other companies' templates without amendment for this PCBU, this site, or this State, which leaves foreign company names, wrong-jurisdiction legislation, and incorrect emergency contacts in documents intended to govern live work.

This is a material safety and legal exposure for the principal contractor as the PCBU. The findings warrant correction and resubmission of each rejected SWMS before the relevant work proceeds, and a review of the SWMS acceptance gate so that these defects are caught before documents enter the register rather than after.

Scope note: This is an interim report covering the existing-trade SWMS reviewed to date. Further subcontractor SWMS remain to be audited and will be reported in a subsequent pass. This is a documentation review; it assesses the written SWMS only and does not verify how each task is performed on site.

2. Audit Summary by SWMS

Supplier	SWMS	Result	Findings	Critical issues
	No.3 Materials Handling (Electric Pallet Jack)	REJECTED	8	Risk matrix non-functional; NSW legislation; controls for wrong plant
	No.4 Speedpanel Installation	REJECTED	6	Unsigned; at-height install uncontrolled; outdated Plant CoP
	No.5 Glasswool Insulation	REJECTED	7	Misclassified as not HRCW; zero fall controls
	No.6 Carpentry	REJECTED	6	At-height install uncontrolled; part-signed only
	Concrete Floor Preparation	ACCEPTED	0	Signed; controls adequate
	Concrete Floor Grinding	ACCEPTED	0	Signed; controls adequate

Supplier	SWMS	Result	Findings	Critical issues
[REDACTED]	Caulking, Grouting & Patching	REJECTED	4	Fall HRCW not declared; legislation/Part 2 blank
[REDACTED]	Silica Dust	REJECTED	4	HRCW/permits/Part 2 blank; wrong-jurisdiction silica figure
[REDACTED]	AC & Ventilation Equipment Installation	REJECTED	7	Foreign company names; title mismatch; template text left in
[REDACTED]	Concrete Scanning	REJECTED	4	At-height not assessed; legislation duplicated/irrelevant
[REDACTED]	Core Drilling Machine	ACCEPTED	0	Signed; thorough 24-item risk assessment
[REDACTED]	Core Drilling CD-01	REJECTED	5	Fall>2m HRCW not declared; review date expired
[REDACTED]	SWMS 03 Pipe & Equipment Installation	REJECTED	4	WHS Reg 2017; no HRCW ID; responsibilities blank
[REDACTED]	SWMS 09 In-slab Conduit	REJECTED	3	WHS Reg 2017; plant section blank
[REDACTED]	SWMS 10 Electrical & Cable Installation	REJECTED	4	WHS Reg 2017; no HRCW ID; responsibilities blank
[REDACTED]	SWMS 28 Testing & Commissioning Submains/DBs	REJECTED	3	Electrical Safety Act 2022 (should be 2002); permit inconsistency
[REDACTED]	SWMS 017.26 Switchboard Relocation	REJECTED	3	Energised-electrical HRCW declared but uncontrolled
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Install Pre-Cast Panels	REJECTED	3	Another company's identity throughout; revision control broken
[REDACTED]	SWMS 01 Concrete Patching & Repairs	REJECTED	4	Unsupported HRCW; not site-specific; silica consequence understated
[REDACTED]	SWMS P001 Precast Erection (5 activities)	REJECTED	4	[REDACTED] ORGANISATION [REDACTED] details carried over; catastrophic events under-rated
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Franna Cranes	REJECTED	3	Review ~12 months overdue; HRCW checklist under-identified
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] SWMS V2.4 Scaffold Erect/Dismantle	ACCEPTED	0	Signed; strong, site-specific scaffolding SWMS

Totals: 22 SWMS audited · 18 rejected · 4 accepted · 82 findings recorded · 82 percent rejection rate.

3. Findings by Subcontractor

SWMS No.3 — Materials Handling (Use of Electric Pallet Jack)

Rev 0, dated 11.02.2026. Eight findings.

The control content of this SWMS cannot be relied upon. The risk-rating system does not function — every Probability × Consequence calculation in the document is arithmetically wrong, and the residual (post-control) scores fall in the band the document's own legend defines as “Unacceptable.” The document also cites New South Wales legislation on a Queensland project, carries controls written for a different type of plant, and nominates an irrelevant Australian Standard. A full rebuild specific to the electric pallet jack is required.

#	Finding	Regulation
1	Risk-rating system non-functional. Every $P \times C = T$ value is miscalculated (e.g. “ $3 \times 3 = 13$ ”, “ $1 \times 4 = 14$ ”); residual scores read “Unacceptable” after controls are applied.	WHS Reg s 299
2	Wrong-State legislation. Cites the NSW Workplace Injury Management & Workers Compensation Act 2003 on a Queensland project — adapted from a NSW template without localisation.	Jurisdiction error
3	Controls describe the wrong plant. “Safety cabin” and “scissors” controls carried over from a scissor-lift/EWP SWMS; a pedestrian pallet jack has neither.	WHS Reg s 299
4	Incorrect Australian Standard. Cites AS/NZS 1425 (LP Gas fuel systems for vehicle engines) as the operating standard for a battery-electric machine.	Document control
5	Wrong mandatory qualification. “Blue Card” listed; the construction induction card is the White Card.	WHS Reg s 299
6	Non-existent [REDACTED] A high-risk-work licence is demanded for a pedestrian pallet truck, which is expressly excluded from forklift HRW licensing.	WHS Reg Sch 3
7	Review period lapsed. One-month review window long passed; the document is no longer current.	WHS Reg s 302
8	Consequence scale defined inconsistently between the matrix and the running header.	Internal inconsistency

SWMS No.4 — Installation of Speedpanel

Rev 0, dated 11.02.2026. Six findings.

The control content is sound — correct Queensland jurisdiction, correct qualifications, HRCW correctly identified, risk arithmetic correct, and appropriate tool/electrical/manual-handling controls. It is rejected because the working-at-height installation activity is not controlled despite the equipment listed and the fall classification.

#	Finding	Regulation
9	Approval block not signed.	Document control
10	Working at height during installation not controlled, although the EWP and scaffold are listed and falls over 2 m are declared high risk construction work.	WHS Reg s 299 / s 306D
11	Risk-rating codes ambiguous; at least one rating inconsistent with the matrix.	Internal inconsistency
12	Outdated Plant Code of Practice cited (2005 instead of 2021).	Document control
13	Legislation block garbled; respirable crystalline silica reference informal/dated.	Document control
14	Minor — EWP type/harness not specified; typographical errors.	WHS Reg s 299

SWMS No.5 — Installation of Glasswool Insulation

Rev 0, dated 11.02.2026. Seven findings.

The dust, fibre and manual-handling content is adequate, but the document fails on the hazard most likely to cause serious injury — working at height. The task is carried out from an EWP, mobile scaffold and

step ladders to ceilings and partitions, yet the SWMS classifies the activity as not high risk construction work and contains no fall identification and no fall controls.

#	Finding	Regulation
15	Activity misclassified as not high risk construction work despite EWP, mobile scaffold and step-ladder use to ceilings and partitions (a risk of a person falling more than 2 m).	WHS Reg s 291
16	No fall hazard identified and no fall control measure in any job step.	WHS Reg s 299 / s 306D
17	Does not satisfy s 299(4) — no fall controls described against the fall hierarchy.	WHS Reg s 299(4)
18	No legislation or Codes of Practice cited — Managing the risk of falls and the Scaffolding CoP 2021 omitted.	WHS Reg s 299
19	Post-control (residual) ratings not recorded.	Document control
20	PPE cross-references point to the wrong steps.	Document control
21	Materials Used field left blank.	Document control

SWMS No.6 — Carpentry (Jambs, Doors, Architraves & Skirtings)

Rev 0, dated 11.02.2026. Six findings.

Well constructed and correctly classified, with correct Queensland legislation, sound tool/electrical/manual-handling and powder-actuated-tool controls, and correct risk arithmetic. It is rejected because the at-height installation activity (EWP/scaffold listed, fall over 2 m declared) is not controlled at the installation stage — fall controls appear only at the delivery stage. The approval is part-signed.

#	Finding	Regulation
22	Working at height during installation not controlled; fall controls appear only at the delivery/unloading stage.	WHS Reg s 299 / s 306D
23	Consequence scale transposed between pages 2 and 3.	Internal inconsistency
24	Step numbering non-sequential (steps 5 and 10-18 absent).	Document control
25	Residual rating error at Step 3 (consequence increases after controls).	Internal inconsistency
26	Laser equipment listed (AS 2397 cited) but no control step provided.	WHS Reg s 299
27	Approval part-signed (one party only); supervisor and worker-consultation signatures blank.	WHS Act s 49

Caulking, Grouting & Patching

Version 2, Review 1, revised 06/01/2026. Four findings.

The safety controls are good — working at height, silica dust, chemicals and electrical are all addressed. It is rejected because the document is unfinished and contradicts itself on working at height. The approval block is unsigned.

#	Finding	Regulation
28	Falls from height not declared on the front page although the job steps treat height work as high risk; fall control-level box left blank.	WHS Reg s 291
29	No legislation or Codes of Practice listed — template instruction text left in that section.	WHS Reg s 299
30	Plant, hazardous-substances and supervision boxes (Part 2) left blank.	WHS Reg s 299
31	Header fields blank — document number, responsible person, date issued to PC.	Document control

Silica Dust

Version 2, Review 2, revised 06/01/2026. Four findings.

The respirable crystalline silica controls are the most thorough of the set and cite the correct codes. It is rejected because the document is largely unfilled and cites a wrong-jurisdiction exposure figure.

#	Finding	Regulation
32	No high risk construction work identified — every page-1 box unticked; the construction-project box blank.	WHS Reg s 291
33	Overall residual risk rating not selected; Permits section not completed.	WHS Reg s 299
34	Part 2 fields blank — plant & equipment, hazardous substances, supervisory arrangements.	WHS Reg s 299
35	Wrong-jurisdiction exposure figure — cites "WorkSafe Victoria 0.02 mg/m ³ " instead of the Queensland/national respirable crystalline silica workplace exposure standard.	RCS Silica CoP 2022

[REDACTED] (SWMS prepared by [REDACTED])

Air Conditioning & Ventilation Equipment Installation (Job No. 00129)

Revision 1, review date 07/10/2025. Seven findings.

The control content is generally sound — the high risk construction work is identified and the electrical, working-at-height, silica and manual-handling hazards are reasonably controlled. It is rejected because the document is not properly localised: it carries another company's name in two places, the title does not match the work, template instructions remain, and the risk threshold contradicts itself.

#	Finding	Regulation
36	Foreign company names left in — [REDACTED] (forklift/ladder approval) and [REDACTED] (training register). The preparer is [REDACTED] for [REDACTED]	Document control
37	Title does not match the work — titled AC/ventilation, but the job steps are electrical (conduit, cabling, switchboards, isolation, LV fault-finding, live testing).	WHS Reg s 299
38	Template instruction text not deleted ("delete this note").	Document control
39	Risk-rating threshold contradicts itself — matrix rates 3-4 unacceptable, but a note allows starting at 4 and residuals are left at 4.	Internal inconsistency
40	Legislation incomplete — RCS Silica CoP and Scaffolding CoP not cited although silica and scaffold are used.	WHS Reg s 299
41	A hazard with no control — Step 8 "Falls in open trenches" control recorded as "N/A."	WHS Reg s 299
42	Principal contractor not stated — PC field shows [REDACTED] not referenced.	WHS Reg s 312

[REDACTED] (engaged under [REDACTED])

Concrete Scanning

Submitted 03/03/2026, review 03/03/2027. Four findings.

A low-risk, non-destructive task (GPR scanning — no cutting, drilling or silica), mostly adequate for the hazards it covers. It is rejected because the at-height element is mentioned but not assessed, and the legislation section is duplicated and includes irrelevant codes.

#	Finding	Regulation
43	Working at height not assessed — Step 4 uses a ladder or EWP to reach higher locations, but there is no fall hazard, no fall control and no HRCW identification.	WHS Reg s 299
44	Legislation/codes section duplicated five times and includes codes not relevant to scanning (e.g. the silica CoP).	Document control

#	Finding	Regulation
45	Hazardous substances marked “N/A” although spray paint is used for marking.	WHS Reg s 346
46	Minor — project name field blank; “camera work” wording does not match the GPR scanning task.	Document control

(engaged under

Core Drilling SWMS CD-01

Revision 1, dated 05/11/2025. Five findings.

The silica and electrical controls are thorough, but the document does not identify the fall-from-height high risk construction work it actually involves, and it is past its own review date.

#	Finding	Regulation
47	Fall from height over 2 m not identified as HRCW — wall drilling up to 3 m via platform ladder/EWP/scaffold; the cover ticks only silica and the permit schedule ticks Coring but not Working at Height.	WHS Reg s 291 / s 299
48	Fall control relies on a platform ladder where the EWP/mobile scaffold listed should be the primary access.	WHS Reg s 306D
49	Review date (05/02/2026) has passed — the document is overdue for review.	WHS Reg s 302
50	Site-manager acceptance block not signed.	Document control
51	Minor — sign-off reference points to page 4 (the risk matrix) instead of page 3; “silica code 2023” should be 2022; “AS/2985” should read AS 2985-2009.	Document control

SWMS 03 — Pipe & Equipment Installation

REV 2 (v1.4), prepared 24/09/2025. Four findings.

Comprehensive, with good controls across 27 steps (pipe install, EWP/scissor lift, scaffold, telehandler, core drilling, silica). It is rejected for the wrong WHS Regulation, no HRCW identification, and blank responsibilities/resources sections.

#	Finding	Regulation
52	Wrong WHS Regulation cited — “Work Health and Safety Regulations 2017.” Queensland is the WHS Regulation 2011.	Document control
53	No high risk construction work identification, although the work involves falls over 2 m (EWP, scaffold) and powered mobile plant (scissor lift, telehandler, forklift).	WHS Reg s 291
54	Responsibilities and resources sections blank — no named Officer, Site Supervisor or HSR; permits/qualifications unpopulated.	WHS Reg s 299
55	Minor — work-description steps and the underground-services table blank; body/sign-off version mismatch (v1.4 vs v1.3).	Document control

SWMS 09 — In-slab Conduit & Penetration Installation

REV 2 (v1.4), prepared 24/09/2025. Three findings.

A low-risk task with adequate controls, rejected for accuracy and completion errors.

#	Finding	Regulation
56	Wrong WHS Regulation cited — “Work Health and Safety Regulations 2017.” Queensland is the WHS Regulation 2011.	Document control
57	Plant & Equipment section largely blank — only the electrical-plant row populated.	WHS Reg s 299
58	Minor — work-description steps and the underground-services table blank; “Thai SWMS” typo; version/date inconsistency.	Document control

SWMS 10 — Electrical Equipment & Cable Installation

REV 2 (v1.4), prepared 24/09/2025. Four findings.

Comprehensive controls across 17 steps (working at height, electrical to AS/NZS 3000:2018, core drilling with silica controls). Rejected for the wrong WHS Regulation, no HRCW identification, and blank responsibilities/resources sections.

#	Finding	Regulation
59	Wrong WHS Regulation cited — “Work Health and Safety Regulations 2017.” Queensland is the WHS Regulation 2011.	Document control
60	No high risk construction work identification, although the work involves falls over 2 m (EWP, working at height with fall arrest).	WHS Reg s 291
61	Responsibilities and resources sections blank — no named responsible persons.	WHS Reg s 299
62	Minor — work-description steps and the underground-services table blank; version/date inconsistencies.	Document control

SWMS 28 — Testing & Commissioning of Electrical Submains & DBs

V1, approved 07/03/2025. Three findings.

The high risk construction work (energised electrical) is correctly identified and the electrical controls are thorough and specific (NO LIVE WORK policy, isolation and LOTO, test-before-touch, neutral back-feed testing, LV rescue). It is rejected for a legislative citation error and a permit inconsistency.

#	Finding	Regulation
63	Wrong legislation — cites the “Electrical Safety Act 2022.” The Queensland Act is the Electrical Safety Act 2002.	Electrical Safety Act 2002
64	Permit inconsistency — front page records “Permit/s Required: Nil” but the procedure relies on isolation permits and Principal Contractor/client permits for energised work.	WHS Reg s 299
65	Minor — version/date mismatch (“V1 March 2024” vs 07/03/2025 approval); typographical errors (“Insulated Tolls,” “DISTRBUTION”).	Document control

(engaged under

SWMS 017.26 — Switchboard Relocation

Version 1, issued 30/03/2026. Three findings.

The rigging, lifting and mobile-plant controls are thorough and task-specific. It is rejected because a declared high risk construction work is left without any hazard entry or control in the task steps.

#	Finding	Regulation
66	Declared HRCW “working near energised electrical services” has no hazard entry and no control in any of the 8 task steps — no confirmation the switchboard is isolated, de-energised and disconnected by a licensed electrician before relocation.	WHS Reg s 299
67	Template carry-over legislation irrelevant to the task — Rail Safety National Law (Qld) Act 2017, GMR’s (2015)” and the WorkCover NSW Rigging Guide (1995).	Document control
68	Minor — page-8 footer reads “© Copyright 2017” against 2018 on every other page.	Document control

Hire (engaged under

— Install Pre-Cast Panels

Rev 2. Three findings.

The crane, rigging and panel-erection controls are detailed and sound and the high risk construction work is identified. It is rejected because the document carries another company's identity throughout — including the emergency contact policy — and its revision control is internally contradictory.

#	Finding	Regulation
69	Another company's identity throughout — the emergency procedure directs workers to Management and its incident-management policy; "SCH" crane-driver references appear; the document number is "SCH-SWMS-022." The preparing company is Hire.	WHS Reg s 299
70	Contradictory revision/date control — footers state Rev 2 / 08/01/2025; the revision history records Rev 001 created 13/06/2025; the next-review date (12/06/2025) precedes the creation date.	Document control
71	Minor — "Southern Mobile Hire" (missing "Crane"); struck-by-plant residual consequence reduced to "insignificant" at the pre-start steps.	Internal inconsistency

(engaged under

SWMS 01 — Concrete Patching & Repairs

Version 1, September 2024 (template). Four findings.

The dust, electrical and manual-handling controls are reasonably covered, but the document declares a high risk activity that does not apply, is not specific to the project or site, and understates the silica health consequence.

#	Finding	Regulation
72	Unsupported high risk activity declared — "work in an area that may have a contaminated or flammable atmosphere" does not apply to concrete patching and is not addressed by any task step.	WHS Reg s 291
73	Not site-specific — Project, Location, Date, Review Date and the front ABN field are blank; no reference to or the template boilerplate retained.	WHS Reg s 299
74	Silica health consequence understated — respirable crystalline silica rated "Moderate" with a Moderate residual; no air monitoring and no committed health monitoring (offers only a guide).	RCS Silica CoP 2022
75	Minor — "Respiratory Crystalline Silica" should read "Respirable"; "natural ventilation" relied on as a silica control.	Document control

SWMS P001 — Precast Erection (bundle of five activities)

Revision 001, developed 09/06/2025. Four findings.

A five-in-one bundle covering precast wall erection, grout/patch/caulk, EWP operation, drilling/boilermaker and ladders. The crane, EWP, hot-works and boilermaker controls are detailed and the HRCW is identified across activities. It is rejected because it carries other companies' details from the source template, rates the highest-consequence events too low for its own escalation rules to apply, and cites incorrect legislation years.

#	Finding	Regulation
76	Other companies' details carried over — controls require training in "THIRD-PARTY ORGANISATION SWMS" and reference S.S"; Precast" is listed as responsible for top-and-tailing panels. The preparing company is	Document control

#	Finding	Regulation
77	Risk ratings understate the catastrophic events — panel fall, crane topple and fall from height are all rated “High 12,” the same as a congested area; fatality-grade events are not placed in the Extreme band, so the matrix's requirement for elimination/engineering controls before work is never triggered.	WHS Reg s 299
78	Legislation/code errors — the EWP section cites “WHS Regulation 2021” (Queensland is 2011); the grout/patch section cites the silica code as “2023” (the instrument is the 2022 Code).	Document control
79	Minor — for a fall over 2 m the ladder section states a platform ladder with handrails is “recommended” rather than required; the document alternates between “SWMS” and “JSA” labelling.	WHS Reg s 306D

(engaged under

— Franna Cranes (Non-Slewing)

Rev 3, dated 08/01/2025. Three findings.

The strongest SWMS in the sample — comprehensive, current franna lifting controls (Cranesafe/10-year inspection, WLL/radius discipline, Dial Before You Dig, powerline exclusion zones with electrical spotter, counterweight pin procedure, weather/fatigue) and an honestly applied risk matrix. It is rejected only on currency and identification; the control content does not require change.

#	Finding	Regulation
80	Past scheduled review — Rev 3 dated 08/01/2025 with a next review due 08/06/2025 on a six-monthly cycle; the document is approximately twelve months past its own next-review date.	WHS Reg s 302
81	HRCW checklist under-identifies the task — only “movement of powered mobile plant” is ticked; the task is tailing precast panels, so “tilt-up or precast concrete elements” should be identified, and falls from height addressed in the body are not reflected on the checklist.	WHS Reg s 291
82	Principal Contractor details left blank.	WHS Reg s 312

4. Systemic Issues

The following patterns recur across the rejected documents and point to process gaps rather than one-off mistakes:

1. High risk construction work not identified or not controlled. In numerous SWMS the high risk construction work the task actually involves is not declared, or is declared but left without controls — most often a risk of a person falling more than 2 m [REDACTED] No.5 and No.6, [REDACTED] 03 and 10, [REDACTED]

and energised electrical work [REDACTED] This is the defect a regulator examines first after a notifiable incident (WHS Reg s 291, s 299, s 306D).

2. Reuse of generic or other companies' templates without localisation. At least six SWMS were adopted from a generic or another company's template and not amended for this PCBU, site or State — leaving foreign company names and policies [REDACTED] / [REDACTED];

[REDACTED] ORGANISATION [REDACTED] Precast"; [REDACTED] boilerplate; [REDACTED] No.3: NSW legislation). A SWMS for high risk work must be specific to the work and workplace (WHS Reg s 299).

3. Incorrect or wrong-jurisdiction legislation. Citations were frequently not verified to Queensland — "WHS Regulations 2017" [REDACTED] three documents), "WHS Regulation 2021" [REDACTED] "Electrical Safety Act 2022" [REDACTED], NSW workers-compensation legislation [REDACTED] No.3), and a WorkSafe Victoria silica figure [REDACTED]

4. Mandatory sections left blank. Responsibilities, plant and equipment, permits and Part 2 summary sections were left unpopulated in several documents [REDACTED] 03/09/10, [REDACTED] so controls and accountabilities cannot be confirmed from the document itself (WHS Reg s 299).

5. Documents past their review date. Several SWMS are outside their own review cycle [REDACTED] No.3, [REDACTED] — the last approximately twelve months overdue) (WHS Reg s 302).

6. Approval blocks unsigned at submission. A number of approval blocks were unsigned when submitted. Sign-off is a principal-contractor acceptance control rather than a statutory SWMS-content requirement, but an unsigned SWMS should not be accepted into the register for use.

5. Legislative References

Citations in this report are referenced to the Work Health and Safety Act 2011 (Qld), the Work Health and Safety Regulation 2011 (Qld), the Electrical Safety Act 2002 (Qld) and Regulation 2013, and the applicable Queensland Codes of Practice.

Provision	Subject
WHS Act 2011 (Qld) s 19	Primary duty of care of a PCBU
WHS Act 2011 (Qld) s 47 / s 49	Duty to consult workers and when consultation is required
WHS Reg 2011 (Qld) s 291	Definition of high risk construction work
WHS Reg 2011 (Qld) s 299	SWMS content, preparation and consultation requirements
WHS Reg 2011 (Qld) s 299(4)	Fall over 2 m must not rely on administrative controls or PPE alone
WHS Reg 2011 (Qld) s 302	Review and revision of a SWMS
WHS Reg 2011 (Qld) s 306D	Fall-prevention controls for construction work (2 m or more)
WHS Reg 2011 (Qld) s 312	Principal contractor to obtain SWMS before HRCW commences
WHS Reg 2011 (Qld) s 346	Safety data sheets / hazardous chemicals register
WHS Reg 2011 (Qld) Sch 3	High risk work [REDACTED] (excludes pedestrian pallet trucks)
Electrical Safety Act 2002 (Qld) / Reg 2013	Queensland electrical safety legislation

Provision	Subject
Managing respirable crystalline silica dust CoP 2022	Silica dust exposure controls and health monitoring